

No. 114

Supreme Court of Illinois

P. Kimmell

vs.

Hark and Elliot for the use

(379)  7

of Conrad Schutz and Frederick Konig

Pleas in the Jackson Circuit Court at their August term in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four

Sheweth to wit on the third day of August in the year aforesaid John Logan agent for the Plaintiffs herein after named came before the Clerk of the said Circuit Court and made the following statement to wit.

Frederick W. Harke & Joseph B. Eliot trading under the firm of Harke and Eliot for the use of Conrad Schmittz

Peter Kimmel Henry G. Pius & Henry A. Kurtz

John Logan agent for the Plaintiffs in this case being duly sworn says that according to the Best of his knowledge and belief the said defendants are justly indebted to the

said Plaintiffs in the just sum of five hundred dollars and that they are in danger of losing their claims against the said defendants or the benefit of whatever Judgment they may obtain against them unless they be held to bail in the sum of five hundred dollars

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30 day of August 1824 J. Williamson Clerk

(Signed) John Logan

on which appeared the said Plaintiffs by Samuel S. Benton their attorney and out from the office of the Clerk of the said Circuit Court a writ of habeas corpus

applying to the said Plaintiffs for being bound by law with agreeably to the Statute of this State in such case made and provided which said writ appears in in the following words to wit.

State of Illinois vs. The People of the State of Illinois to Jackson County the Sheriff of Jackson County Greeting We command you to take Peter Kimmel Henry G. Pius and Henry A. Kurtz if found in your Bailiwick and to keep so that you have them before



by their Attorney complain for the use of Cassius Shuttle  
of Peter Rimmee Henry G. Pius and Henry A. Kurtz (lately)  
trading under the firm of Rimmee Pius and Kurtz in  
custody of a Plea that they remain unto them the  
Said Hook and Elliot for the use of Shuttle of said  
Court and full sum of one thousand seven hundred  
and twenty two dollars and forty six cents  
to them they are and were then unjustly detained  
for that the said Hook and Elliot for the use of Shuttle  
of said Court had failed to wit on the nineteenth day of  
August in the year of our said one thousand eight  
hundred and nineteen sued out of and from the of-  
fice of the prothonotary of the Court of Common  
Pleas for the County of Allegheny and State of Pennyl-  
vania their certain writ or Summons against the  
Said Rimmee Pius and Kurtz to wit on the day and  
year last aforesaid and returnable on the first  
Monday of September then next following before the  
Judges of said Court when upon said proceedings were  
taken and there had in the said Court of Common  
Pleas of and for the County of Allegheny and State  
of Pennsylvania before the Judges of said Court that after-  
wards to wit on the nineteenth day of May in the  
year of our said one thousand eight hundred and  
twenty one by the Court and Judgment of said  
Court the said Hook and Elliot for the use of Shuttle  
of said Court recovered against Said Rimmee Pius and  
Kurtz the sum of three hundred and forty two dol-  
lars and forty six cents as well as the sum of thirteen  
dollars and seventy four cents for their costs and  
charges by them about their suit in their behalf of-  
ferred which said sums make in the whole the  
sum of three hundred and fifty six dollars and ten  
twenty cents part of the said sum of one thousand  
seven hundred and twenty two dollars and forty six  
cents above recited when of the said Rimmee Pius  
and Kurtz were convicted as by the record and pro-  
ceedings there remaining in the said Court of Common

42  
 42  
 pleas of Allegany County in the State of Pennsylvania  
 more fully and at large appears which said Judgment  
 remains in full force and effect not satisfied rever-  
 sed or otherwise vacated wholly an action hath accrued  
 to the said Clerk and Collect Eliot to demand and  
 have of and from the said Kim and Piers and Runtz  
 for the use of Shultz of said the said sum  
 of three hundred and fifty six dollars and twenty  
 four cents part of the said sum of one thousand  
 seven hundred twenty two dollars and forty six  
 cents just above demanded. (in which said declaration  
 was stated altho and large ~~and~~ claim which by order  
 of the Court and agreement of the parties was taken  
 out and the said declaration closed as follows) yet  
 the said Kim and Piers and Runtz nor either of them  
 altho often requested ~~to do so~~ <sup>to do so</sup> have not as yet paid  
 the said sum of one thousand seven hundred and  
 twenty two dollars and forty six cents above de-  
 manded or any part thereof to the said Clerk and  
 Collect or either of them for the use of Shultz or other-  
 wise but to do the same have hitherto wholly refus-  
 ed and since do refuse to the damage of the said Clerk  
 and Collect as aforesaid the sum of six hundred and  
 four cents therefore they (signed) Denton P. L.

Friday August 20. 1824

Deank M. Clerk Joseph B. Eliot for the use of Geo. van Shultz vs.  Peter Kim and Henry G. Piers & Henry & Runtz	In debt. This may certify the par- ties by their attorneys an agreement of the defen- dants whereby they are in the agreement of the
--	---

40  
 defendant Peter Kim and Henry G. Piers and Henry & Runtz  
 that this case be continued to the next term of this  
 Court and that the said Plaintiffs recover of  
 the said defendants their costs and charges abo-  
 ut their suit in this behalf expended at the

5 present term of this Court.

Sunday May 10. 1825

Henry S. Eliot	In Debt
Peter Kimme Sr.	vs
G. Pinn & H. A. Kunk	Plaintiffs

1<sup>st</sup> Sci Debt 2<sup>d</sup> What the Record and so there was no such part worship to the first and third of the said Pleas the Plaintiff's attorney puts in less demand and to the second takes Issue and after argument heard the Court took the case under consideration and the case is continued to tomorrow morning which said second Pleas are in the

Kimme and the debt cannot defend the wrong as Henry S. Eliot says when he says that he can not owe the said sum of money above and did or any part thereof in manner and form as the said Plffs have shown above thereof complained against him and of this he puts himself upon the Country &c.

(Signed) Gray for Def.

For answer  
(Signed) Baker for Plff.

and for further plea in the behalf the said defendant says action non because he says that there is no such record of the said supposed Judgment in the said declaration mentioned and this he is ready to verify when soe &c. (Signed) Gray for def

Plaintiff says there is such record and this he prays &c.  
(Signed) Baker for Plff.



Enclosed is a true record of the proceedings had in  
the above entitled suit before the said Court as appear  
from the papers of said suit and the record of said  
Court in my office and that the Paper hereunto attached  
is a true and correct copy of a paper purporting to be a record  
of the trial of said suit in the same Court  
and that the said trial before the said Court was held  
at New York on the 18th day of May  
1825

J. Manning Clerk

Cost of this Run

\$3.00

J. Manning

In the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County  
of November Term A<sup>D</sup> 1819 N<sup>o</sup>. 72 it is contained as follows

9

Forward Craft

Frederick W. Heuk & Joseph  
B. Elliott, trading under the firm  
of Heuk & Elliott for the use of  
Conrad Schultz

if 23 Aug 1819  
Summons Case  
Served so answer  
L. Stewart, Plff  
W. Walker, Def  
P. Kimmell d. b.

Walker d. b.

Peter Kimmell, Henry G. Pius &  
Henry A. Kuntz, trading under the  
firm of Kimmell Pius & Kuntz

February 2, 1820  
Rule on part of  
Plff to have  
Arbitrators chosen  
at the Prothonotary's Office

Costs

Attorney 4:00  
Arbitrator 3:00  
Prothonotary 4:12  
" Bailor 27  
Suff. Stewart 2:25

#1975  
on the 18<sup>th</sup> Inst, at 11 O'clock AM copy served - February 18, 1820 The  
Attornies for the parties appeared and chose Robert Spencer, Benjamin  
Darlington & William Woods, a arbitrators to meet at J. B. Morris  
on the 18<sup>th</sup> March next at 3 O'clock P.M. copy of the award  
filed binding for the Plaintiff the sum of three hundred and  
two dollars and forty six cents with costs of suit. May 19<sup>th</sup> 1821  
Judgment...

Frederick W. Heuk & Joseph B. Elliott  
trading under the firm of Heuk & Elliott  
for use of Conrad Schultz

Issue Summons Case  
post capias case  
see No 312 Aug 1819  
insert names of parties in full

Peter Kimmell, Henry G. Pius & Henry A. Kuntz, trading under the firm of Kimmell Pius & Kuntz  
W. Walker, d. b. The day and year above  
mentioned

Wm Woods  
Thomas Brock  
Robert Spencer

Pennsylvania  
Allegheny County

I James R. Butler Prothonotary of the  
of Kimmell Pius & Kuntz

19 Aug 1819

014-2



Prothonarys office on the 18<sup>th</sup> inst at 11 o'clock Am copy served  
February 17. 1820 The Attornies for the parties appeared and chose  
Robert Spencer, Benjamin Lardington and William Woods  
arbitrators to meet at J. W. Clivers on the 18<sup>th</sup> March next at 3 o'clock P.M.  
Copy from the Record *Stutland & Co*

Arbitration to meet by consent on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of April next at Beales  
at 3 o'clock P.M. *J. S. Craft*

agreed meeting of

April 21. 1821

*J. S. Craft*

*D. V. Walker*

April 29. 1821 William Woods & Robert Spencer two of the  
within Arbitrators met and chose Thomas Busch in the room of Benjamin  
Lardington unanimately about and all having been duly sworn and  
examining the evidence for plaintiffs find for the Plaintiffs the sum  
Three Hundred and forty two dollars forty six cents with cost

Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County  
aforesaid Do certify the foregoing to be  
full and complete copy of the Record on said  
Case as it remains in my office

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal  
of said Court at Pittsburg May 24<sup>th</sup> 1823 *James R. Miller Clerk*

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting on a heavily stained and torn piece of paper.]*

*[Faint handwriting on a torn piece of paper, including:]*  
P. Amos  
Book of the  
Christy  
Folio  
(id.)  
114  
P. Amos

such, and that the same certificate is in due form of law  
within the Hand Book of the said Wm Wilkes, this 10<sup>th</sup> day  
of June 1823.

Wm Wilkes

Peter Kimball

vs

Henk and Elliot

Sup. Court, June Term 1825.

And now at this day, came the plff in error, and says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, as also in giving the judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit:

1. That the court erred in sustaining the demurrer of the plffs below to the pleas of nil debet and disclaimer of partnership, filed by the said Kimball the deft below.
2. There is error also in this, that the court below did not sustain said demurrer to the plffs declaration, the same being defective and the demurrer reaching back thereto.
3. That the court below erred in giving judgment for the plffs below against the deft below, on the plea of nil debet record.
4. That the court below erred in adjudging interest to the plffs below on the ~~same~~

record from the state of Penna., from  
the day upon which the said judg-  
ment in the declaration, was supposed  
to have been rendered in the state  
of Penna.

There is error also in this that interest  
is given on said record from the  
18th day of ~~April~~ <sup>April</sup> 1821, more than a month before the day,  
~~day~~ <sup>that</sup>, upon which the said  
judgment is supposed to have been  
rendered in the state of Penna.

For which errors and others ap-  
parent on the said record and in  
the proceedings aforesaid, the plff.  
in error, now here, prays that  
said judgment may be reversed  
and set aside &c.

Eddy for Plff. in error.

Petition in error against the record from Pa. in only agt. the two first.

Peter Kimmel  
vs  
Benjamin Elliott

Assignment of  
Errors

Filed June 20  
1825 J. M. Duncan

(114)

London

Confession

State of Illinois Sec.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

TO THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF *Jackson*

GREETING:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Jackson*

county, before the Judge thereof, between *Frederick W. Hunt* and *Joseph W. Elliott for the use of board Shutt* plaintiffs

and *Peter Kimmel*

defendant, it is said manifest

error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid *defendant* as we are informed by his complaint, and we being willing that error (if any there be) should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay, send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plea aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Vandalia in the county of Fayette, on the *second* *Monday of December* next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

Witness *James M. Duncan*, Clerk of our said court, and the seal thereof

at *Vandalia*, this *14th*

day of *June* in the year of our

Lord one thousand eight hundred and *Twenty five*

*J. M. Duncan*

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

TO THE JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF

*[Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

*Superior Court*

*Peter Himmel*

*vs*

*3/11/25*

*J. W. Leent Enor*

*Joseph Elliot for*

*the use of Leent*

*Shultz*

*Filed June 14, 1825*

*J. W. Duncan*